Logographic AI and Materials Science:

A Paradigm Revolution from Rootless Tokens to Mechanistic Morpho-Roots

Abstract

The current AI paradigm dominating materials science—Phonographic AI (PAI) based on statistical fitting—has demonstrated powerful capabilities in data screening and property prediction. However, its underlying logic of treating "rootless Tokens" as cognitive primitives makes it essentially a discoverer of high-order correlations rather than a understander of causal mechanisms. This "black-box" nature constitutes a fundamental trust barrier in safety-critical materials applications such as nuclear structural materials and aerospace metamaterials.

This paper, based on the Logographic AI (LAI) theory proposed by Chinese scholar Shen Liu, systematically demonstrates the pathway for a paradigm revolution in materials science AI—from "data fitting" to "mechanism-driven" approaches. The core contributions include: (1) proposing the "Material Morpho-Root" concept and its formal definition **M=(S,A,R)**, encoding composition-structure-property relationships into computable cognitive primitives; (2) designing a Morpho-Structural Entropy (MSE)-guided graph reasoning engine, achieving fully traceable reasoning from target properties to material designs with zero-violation assurance of hard constraints; (3) proposing a hybrid architecture integrating LAI Morpho-Root networks with deep generative models, achieving the organic unity of "efficient sampling" and "trustworthy verification."

This paper argues that the application of the LAI paradigm in materials science is not merely an upgrade of technical tools, but a cognitive paradigm revolution from "data fitting" to "mechanistic reasoning." When AI can not only predict "which material is good," but also explain "why it is good," justify "how to design it," and guarantee that "all constraints are satisfied," materials research can truly transition from "trial-and-error intensive" to "mechanism-driven."

Keywords: Logographic AI (LAI); Material Morpho-Root; Structure-Property Relationships; Explainable AI; Mechanism-Driven Design; Morpho-Structural Entropy

---

1. Introduction: The Black-Box Dilemma of Materials AI and the Necessity of Paradigm Breakthrough

Artificial intelligence is being integrated into materials science at an unprecedented depth. From high-throughput virtual screening to generative materials design, from autonomous experimental systems to cross-scale modeling, AI technologies represented by deep learning have become indispensable "research accelerators" for materials scientists. The thermal metamaterial inverse design AI model developed by the Shanghai Jiao Tong University team can generate large batches of candidate designs with experimental validation, with results published in Nature [1]; the DiffMat framework utilizes diffusion models to achieve customized generation of energy-absorbing metamaterial microstructures from target stress-strain curves [2]; autonomous agent-based systems have been capable of full-process automation for metamaterial modeling and inverse design [3]; Yu et al. have provided a systematic review of AI-driven approaches in electromagnetic metamaterials design and application [13].

However, a fundamental problem is being systematically overlooked: the current AI-driven materials design process is an end-to-end black-box mapping. Researchers input target properties, and the model outputs an "optimal" material or structure—but researchers do not know "why this material," do not know "what each structural feature contributes to the performance," and do not know "whether the model truly understands the underlying physics or is merely performing high-dimensional interpolation within the distribution of training data."

The essence of this problem can be traced back to the cognitive foundation of the current mainstream AI paradigm—which Chinese scholar Shen Liu diagnoses as "Rootless Symbolism" [4][5]. All current mainstream AI models (collectively referred to in this paper as "Phonographic AI," PAI) use intrinsically meaningless Tokens as their basic cognitive units, "understanding" the world by statistically modeling co-occurrence relationships between Tokens. The success of this paradigm does not mean it understands meaning—only that it performs well enough at statistical correlation. When this paradigm is applied to materials science, it brings three structural limitations:

Limitation One: Inexplicability. PAI can predict that a certain perovskite composition has high photoelectric conversion efficiency, but cannot clearly explain the underlying physical mechanisms of electron-hole separation, migration, and recombination. Its output is "correlation" rather than "causation." As systematically argued in Ref. [6], Token-based models may discover statistical associations between material properties and structural parameters, but can never truly "understand" the physical causal chains behind these associations.

Limitation Two: Constraint Satisfaction Cannot Be Guaranteed. Practical applications of materials require not only meeting target performance indicators but also satisfying a series of engineering constraints—manufacturability constraints (e.g., minimum feature size), thermodynamic stability constraints, cost constraints, sustainability constraints, etc. While current generative models may implicitly capture these constraints in training data, they cannot guarantee their satisfaction at inference time.

Limitation Three: Inefficient Use of Physical Knowledge. Materials science has accumulated a wealth of mature physical theories over decades of development—crystallography, thermodynamics, kinetics, first-principles calculations, etc. These theories provide profound insights into "what kind of structure produces what kind of performance." However, current purely data-driven approaches almost completely ignore this prior physical knowledge, leaving everything to the data to "speak for itself."

The superposition of these three limitations is leading materials AI toward a deeper dilemma—"Technology Capture" [5][7]. When researchers become satisfied with "the model can predict/generate materials," they may stop asking "why the model predicts/generates this way." This satisfaction itself is precisely the capture of deeper scientific understanding. In basic research, this "black box" may be tolerable; but in safety-critical applications—such as nuclear structural materials, aerospace materials, and defense equipment materials—inexplicability directly constitutes a veto for engineering adoption.

This paper argues that the key to breaking this dilemma lies not in training larger generative models, but in reconstructing AI's cognitive architecture—shifting from "statistical fitting" to "mechanistic reasoning." The Logographic AI (LAI) theory proposed by Shen Liu [4][5][8] provides a completely new philosophical foundation and implementation path for this purpose. The core of LAI is to replace arbitrary Tokens with "Morpho-Roots" that carry inherent form-meaning rationality, fundamentally reconstructing AI's semantic construction logic.

This paper systematically maps the LAI theoretical framework to the field of materials science, proposing a "Material Morpho-Root" system and a Morpho-Root-based graph reasoning framework. The core contributions of this paper include: (1) proposing for the first time the formal definition of "Material Morpho-Root" $\mathbf{M=(S,A,R)}$; (2) designing a Morpho-Structural Entropy (MSE)-guided inverse reasoning engine; (3) proposing a hybrid architecture integrating LAI with deep generative models.

The structure of this paper is as follows: Section 2 systematically elaborates the core concepts of LAI theory and the formal definition of Morpho-Root; Section 3 proposes the "Material Morpho-Root" system and its application in materials science; Section 4 designs a Morpho-Root-based inverse reasoning engine; Section 5 proposes the hybrid architecture; Section 6 demonstrates the framework's working principles through case studies; Section 7 discusses challenges and prospects; Section 8 concludes the paper.

---

2. Theoretical Foundations of Logographic AI (LAI)

2.1 From "Rootless Tokens" to "Rooted Morpho-Roots": A Paradigm Shift in Cognitive Primitives

Shen Liu, in The Rooted Intelligence: The Paradigm Revolution of Logographic AI [5], systematically discusses the cognitive foundation problems of current mainstream AI. He points out that all large language models and multimodal models based on the Transformer architecture are essentially a form of "Phonographic AI"—they use discrete, intrinsically meaningless Tokens as their basic cognitive units, "understanding" the world by statistically modeling co-occurrence relationships between Tokens. The "meaning" of a Token is completely "rootless"—it drifts with changes in training data distribution and cannot internalize causal logic or hard constraints [5][8].

Liu diagnoses this rootlessness of cognitive primitives as "Rootless Symbolism" [4][5], and traces the origin of this dilemma from a philosophical perspective: the alphabetic writing systems represented by English simplify the relationship between linguistic symbols and meaning into an arbitrary, conventional correspondence—and this "arbitrariness" has been inherited and amplified by the contemporary AI Token paradigm: Tokens carry no inherent meaning, and their "semantics" are temporarily assigned by statistical co-occurrence relationships. This "rootlessness" leads to three structural deficiencies [5][8]:

1. Statistical correlation ≠ causation: models can predict "A co-occurs with B," but cannot understand the causal mechanism of "A causes B";
2. Semantic drift is inevitable: as data distribution changes, the "meaning" of Tokens changes accordingly;
3. Compositionality is superficial: Tokens cannot natively represent hierarchical, cross-scale knowledge structures.

Liu further points out that this "data warehouse" paradigm—with discrete Tokens as storage units and statistical associations as organizational logic—cannot fundamentally support "model construction" as a core intelligent activity, and must shift toward a "cognitive soil"-style knowledge representation system with "Morpho-Roots" as basic units [6].

2.2 Formal Definition of "Morpho-Root"

LAI's alternative is to introduce the "Morpho-Root" as the basic cognitive unit. Liu defines the Morpho-Root as a structured cognitive primitive carrying inherent semantics, formally represented as a triple [5][8]:

$$\tau=(S,A,R)$$

Symbol	Name	Meaning
S	Symbol	A machine-readable identifier and natural language name that uniquely refers to the Morpho-Root in the system
A	Attributes	A set embedding inherent semantic features, physical properties, and hard constraints (e.g., "cannot be deceived," "melting point not lower than X°C")
R	Relation Functions	A set defining the preset logical connections between this Morpho-Root and other Morpho-Roots, predefined and fixed by domain knowledge rather than learned from data

The essential difference between Morpho-Root and Token is: the Token is an empty statistical placeholder whose "meaning" is entirely determined by contextual statistics; the Morpho-Root is a saturated semantic atom whose meaning is embedded in its own structural definition [5][6]. Liu, in The Rooted Intelligence, systematically demonstrates how Morpho-Roots fundamentally solve the "Symbol Grounding Problem"—i.e., how symbols acquire meaning [5].

2.3 Morpho-Structural Entropy (MSE) and the Graph-Traversal Reasoning Mechanism

In the LAI framework, Morpho-Roots are connected through predefined logical relations (functions in the R set), forming a Morpho-Root semantic network—a directed graph $G=(V,E)$, where V are Morpho-Root nodes and E are semantic relation edges. This network is not statistically "learned" from data, but predefined and fixed by domain knowledge [5][8].

Liu introduces "Morpho-Structural Entropy" (MSE) as a key information-theoretic measure of the orderliness of the Morpho-Root system [5][8]. For a Morpho-Root node v, MSE is defined as:

$$H(v) = -\Sigma\_\{e\in N(v)\}\ p(e) \log p(e)$$

where $N(v)$ is the set of all outgoing edges from node v, and $p(e)$ is the certainty weight of edge e. The physical meaning of MSE is: low MSE regions ($H\to 0$) correspond to clear, experimentally or theoretically verified causal paths; high MSE regions (H large) correspond to uncertain areas with multiple competing paths or insufficiently verified key relationships—knowledge gaps [5][8].

The Morpho-Graph Computing paradigm proposed by Liu replaces the dense self-attention mechanism of Transformers with sparse graph traversal of complexity $O(|E|)$, achieving completely transparent, traceable reasoning. Its core strategy can be summarized as [5][8]:

> "Walk fast in low entropy; ask humans in high entropy"

That is, in low MSE regions, the system rapidly traverses known mechanistic paths; in high MSE regions, the system proactively requests external validation (high-fidelity simulation or experiment) rather than forcing unreliable conclusions. Liu systematically establishes the information-theoretic distinction between Morpho-Structural Entropy and Sequential Entropy in Chapter 6 of The Rooted Intelligence [5].

Each graph traversal generates a complete decision subgraph, recording the full path of every node activation, edge traversal, and branch decision. This means that every inference conclusion of the AI can be traced back to its dependent Morpho-Root nodes and relation chains—achieving true "white-box" reasoning [5][8]. Traversal paths that violate hard constraints are logically blocked at the reasoning stage, rather than penalized at the output stage—unsafe outcomes are never generated from the outset [5][8].

2.4 Engineering Concept Validation: The OpenMorpho Prototype System

To validate the technical feasibility of Morpho-Root theory, the WindSeaAI team developed the open-source prototype system OpenMorpho [9]. This system implements the core mechanisms of the Morpho-Root triple data structure, Morpho-Root semantic network construction, MSE-guided graph traversal reasoning, and hard constraint blocking.

OpenMorpho has been validated conceptually across multiple domains, including a hydrogen embrittlement risk assessment case in materials science [9]. This prototype is the first to demonstrate, in runnable code, that Morpho-Root-based reasoning can output complete, auditable decision subgraphs and achieve logic-level automatic blocking of reasoning paths that violate domain hard constraints. This concept validation provides an engineering reference for the "Material Morpho-Root" framework proposed in this paper.

3. The "Material Morpho-Root" System

3.1 Formal Definition of "Material Morpho-Root"

Mapping the LAI Morpho-Root framework to the field of materials science, we define the "Material Morpho-Root" as a computable cognitive primitive that encapsulates the minimal mechanistic unit in materials science. The formal definition of the Material Morpho-Root is:

$$M=(S,A,R)$$

Symbol	Name	Specific Meaning in Materials Science
S	Structural Carrier	Basic structural description of the material, such as atomic coordinates, bonding relations, space group, lattice parameters, defect configurations, phase interface descriptions
A	Attributes	Intrinsic physical properties carried by the structural carrier, such as atomic radius, electronegativity, magnetic moment, formation energy, elastic constants, thermal conductivity, band gap value
R	Relation Functions	Preset physical/chemical relation functions between elements in S and A, such as "element substitution in A will affect the band gap value," "bond length changes in A will affect the elastic modulus," "element substitution in A will change the directionality of thermal conductivity"

Core Feature: In stark contrast to the logic of Token, which strips symbols from meaning, the Material Morpho-Root insists that every cognitive primitive must carry its topological position in the materials science knowledge network, thereby binding structure and performance at the foundational level. This approach is consistent with the "structure-property" mapping philosophy of the Materials Genome Initiative, but achieves a fundamental deepening in the granularity and computability of knowledge representation.

3.2 Core Material Morpho-Root Examples

The following are core Morpho-Root types constituting the Material Morpho-Root system (non-exhaustive):

Morpho-Root Name	Semantic Definition	Core Attributes (A)	Key Relations (R)
Element-Root	Intrinsic properties of chemical elements	Atomic number, atomic radius, electronegativity, valence electron configuration, melting point	influences Bond-Root; determines Crystal-Structure-Root

Morpho-Root Name	Semantic Definition	Core Attributes (A)	Key Relations (R)
Crystal-Structure-Root	Crystal structure and space group	Space group, lattice parameters, atomic occupancy, symmetry	determines Electronic-Band-Root; determines Mechanical-Property-Root
Defect-Root	Point/line/planar defects	Defect type, formation energy, migration energy barrier	influences Transport-Property-Root; determines Strength-Root
Phase-Interface-Root	Phase interface and grain boundary structure	Interface energy, misfit, interfacial atomic structure	determines Composite-Property-Root; influences Stability-Root
Electronic-Band-Root	Electronic band structure	Band gap, effective mass, density of states distribution	determines Optical-Property-Root; determines Conductivity-Root
Phonon-Dispersion-Root	Phonon dispersion and thermal transport	Phonon frequencies, group velocities, Grüneisen parameters	determines Thermal-Conductivity-Root; determines Thermal-Stability-Root
Mechanical-Property-Root	Mechanical properties	Elastic modulus, Poisson's ratio, yield strength, fracture toughness	constrained_by Manufacturability-Root; determines Service-Life-Root
Manufacturability-Root	Manufacturability constraints	Minimum feature size, machinability, cost, sustainability	constrains all structural roots
Service-Condition-Root	Service condition constraints	Temperature range, stress state, corrosion environment, irradiation dose	constrains all performance roots

3.3 Essential Differences from Existing Materials Characterization Methods

To more clearly position the innovative value of the "Material Morpho-Root," it is necessary to compare it with existing materials characterization methods:

Dimension	Traditional Structural Descriptors	Deep Learning Implicit Representations	Material Morpho-Root
Representation Form	Single numerical value (e.g., porosity, specific surface area)	High-dimensional vector (neural network latent space)	Structured triple (S, A, R)
Semantic Carrying	No inherent semantics	No inherent semantics (black box)	Embedded physical semantics and constraints

Dimension	Traditional Structural Descriptors	Deep Learning Implicit Representations	Material Morpho-Root
Explainability	Low (single value cannot explain mechanism)	Extremely low (complete black box)	High (every step traceable to physical mechanism)
Reasoning Capability	None	None (can only predict/generate, cannot reason)	Yes (graph reasoning based on semantic network)
Constraint Guarantee	None	None (statistical satisfaction, cannot be hard-guaranteed)	Yes (logical blocking of constraint-violating paths)
Knowledge Reuse	Difficult	Difficult (knowledge submerged in parameters)	Easy (Morpho-Roots can be reused and combined independently)

Traditional descriptors are "data compression," deep learning implicit representations are "data reparameterization," while the Material Morpho-Root is "explicit encoding of knowledge"—it tells the system not only "what it is," but also "why" and "what it cannot be."

---

4. Inverse Reasoning Engine Based on "Material Morpho-Roots"

4.1 MSE-Guided Graph Traversal

The Material Morpho-Root system constitutes a directed semantic network $G=(V,E)$, where nodes V are Morpho-Root types or Morpho-Root instances, and edges E are semantic relations (such as determines, enables, constrains, inhibits, is_a_kind_of).

The materials design task can be formalized as: given a target performance set $T=\{t1,t2,...,tm\}$, search for an interpretable path from the target node to the achievable structural node in the Morpho-Root semantic network.

Morpho-Structural Entropy (MSE) plays a guiding role in this search process:

- **Low MSE regions**: The network contains dense, experimentally or theoretically validated reasoning paths. The system rapidly traverses along these paths, generating fully validated design solutions.
- **High MSE regions**: Reasoning paths in the network are sparse or broken, indicating that current knowledge is insufficient to reliably connect targets to structures. The system proactively marks knowledge gaps and generates queries to external validation systems (high-fidelity simulation or experiment).

This strategy of "walk fast in low entropy; ask humans in high entropy" ensures both reasoning efficiency and prevents the system from forcing unreliable recommendations when knowledge is insufficient—a "self-awareness" that pure black-box generative models lack [5][8].

4.2 Reasoning Chain Construction for Inverse Design

The following example demonstrates how the Morpho-Root reasoning engine works:

Design Target: Structural material with both high strength and high toughness.

Reasoning Process:

1. **Target Activation**: The system receives the targets "high strength" and "high toughness," activating Strength-Root and Toughness-Root.
2. **Conflict Identification**: The system identifies an inhibits relationship between Strength-Root and Toughness-Root—this is the classical "strength-toughness trade-off" explicitly represented at the Morpho-Root level. The system marks this conflict in the reasoning chain.
3. **Decoupling Path Search**: The system searches for a third type of Morpho-Root that can mitigate this inhibitory relationship. Grain-Refinement-Root is found to enhance both strength and toughness (within a certain range) through the Hall-Petch effect.
4. **Constraint Checking**: Grain-Refinement-Root is connected via constrained_by relations to Manufacturability-Root and Service-Condition-Root, checking whether the grain refinement solution satisfies manufacturability and service condition constraints.
5. **Solution Output**: The system outputs the complete reasoning chain—from "strength + toughness" to "grain refinement" to "specific process parameters" to "manufacturability/service condition validation"—with every step corresponding to a clear physical mechanism.

Visualization of the Reasoning Chain (Directed Acyclic Graph):

```
Target: High Strength + High Toughness

    ↓ (conflict detected)

Strength-Root ⊥ Toughness-Root (strength-toughness trade-off)

    ↓ (resolution path found)

Grain-Refinement-Root

    ↓ (mechanism)
```

```
Hall-Petch-Root: σ_y = σ_0 + k_y / √d

    ↓ (constrained_by)

Manufacturability-Root {minimum controllable grain size, thermomechanical processing window}

Service-Condition-Root {grain stability within service temperature range}

    ↓ (validates)

Feasible Design Proposal: Fine-grained structural material + heat treatment process parameters
```

Every node and every edge in this reasoning chain is human-readable, auditable, and traceable. Designers receive not only "what material," but also the complete justification of "why this material."

4.3 Zero-Violation Assurance of Hard Constraints

In safety-critical materials applications, constraint satisfaction is not "try to satisfy" but "must satisfy." The LAI framework achieves zero-violation assurance of hard constraints through a logic-level blocking mechanism [5][8]:

When the reasoning engine attempts to activate a certain Morpho-Root or reason along a certain edge, the system first checks whether this path violates any activated Constraint-Root (including Manufacturability-Root, Service-Condition-Root, Cost-Root, Sustainability-Root). If violated, the path is **blocked at the reasoning stage**, rather than discovered after generation.

Liu, in Chapter 7 of The Rooted Intelligence, systematically elaborates the hierarchical axiom system and conflict resolution mechanism of the "Value Axiom Library" [5]. In materials science, this mechanism can be mapped to a "Physical Axiom Library"—encoding the fundamental laws of thermodynamics, crystallographic symmetry constraints, and basic principles of quantum mechanics as unbreakable axioms. Any reasoning path violating these axioms is pruned at the generation stage.

This mechanism forms a sharp contrast with pure generative models:

Comparison Dimension	Pure Generative Models	LAI Morpho-Root Reasoning
Constraint Checking Timing	After generation (post-processing filtering)	During reasoning (logic-level blocking)
Handling of Violations	Discard or patch	Constraint-violating paths are never generated
Guarantee Level	Statistical (mostly satisfied)	Deterministic (all satisfied)
Efficiency	Wasteful generation-validation-discard cycles	One reasoning pass satisfies all constraints

This difference is crucial in practical engineering applications of materials science—when designs involve dozens of mutually coupled constraints, the "generate-then-filter" strategy can lead to extremely high invalid generation rates, while the "block-during-reasoning" strategy ensures that every reasoning outcome is engineering-feasible.

---

5. Hybrid Architecture: LAI Morpho-Root Networks + Deep Generative Models

5.1 Why a Hybrid Architecture?

The LAI Morpho-Root reasoning engine and existing deep generative models each have advantages and limitations:

Dimension	LAI Morpho-Root Reasoning	Deep Generative Models
Advantages	Explainable, traceable, constraint-guaranteed	High efficiency, broad coverage, excels at high-dimensional spaces
Limitations	Depends on completeness of Morpho-Root knowledge base	Black box, inexplicable, no constraint guarantees

They are not substitutes but complements. The optimal solution is not choosing one over the other, but building a hybrid architecture that leverages the strengths of both.

5.2 Hybrid Architecture Design

The proposed hybrid architecture consists of three core modules:

Module 1: Generation Module (Deep Generative Models)

- Function: Efficiently sample candidate materials/structures from the target performance space
- Input: Target performance descriptions (e.g., target band gap, target stress-strain curve, target thermal conductivity)
- Output: A large number of candidate materials/structures
- Representative Methods: Conditional diffusion models [2], variational autoencoders, generative adversarial networks
- Evaluation: **Efficiency-first**—rapid generation of a large number of candidates

Module 2: Validation and Explanation Module (LAI Morpho-Root Network)

- Function: Perform mechanistic validation, constraint checking, and reasoning chain generation for candidate materials/structures
- Input: Candidate materials/structures from the generation module
- Output: Validated materials/structures + complete traceable reasoning chains
- Representative Methods: Morpho-Root semantic network traversal, MSE-guided graph reasoning
- Evaluation: **Trustworthiness-first**—only outputs explainable, verifiable solutions

Module 3: Closed-Loop Iteration Module

- Function: Feedback validation results to the generation module to guide the next round of generation
- Mechanism: "Failure reasons" marked by the validation module are converted into "conditional constraints" for the generation module
- Effect: With iteration, the generation module gradually "learns" to sample within constraint-satisfying regions

5.3 Workflow

The typical workflow of the hybrid architecture is as follows:

```
Step 1: User inputs target performance

    ↓

Step 2: Generation module (diffusion model) rapidly samples N candidate materials/structures

    ↓

Step 3: LAI validation module performs for each candidate:

    - Physical consistency check (does it conform to known physical laws?)

    - Constraint satisfaction check (does it satisfy manufacturability and other hard constraints?)

    - Mechanistic traceability (can a complete reasoning chain be generated?)

    ↓

Step 4: Validated candidates + reasoning chains output to user

    ↓
```

```
Step 5: Invalid candidates → analyze failure reasons → feedback to generation module

    ↓

Step 6: Generation module adjusts sampling distribution based on feedback → enters next iteration
```

The core innovation of this architecture is: the generation model handles "breadth," while LAI handles "depth"—the generation model rapidly explores the vast design space, while LAI provides deep mechanistic insight and trustworthy validation at critical nodes.

---

6. Case Demonstrations

6.1 Case 1: Inverse Design of Structural Materials under Extreme Conditions

Scenario: Nuclear reactor cladding materials must simultaneously satisfy: irradiation resistance, corrosion resistance, high-temperature strength, and neutron economy.

The Dilemma of Traditional Methods: These four conditions involve complex mutual constraints. Pure generative models might produce a "compromised" material within the training data distribution, but cannot explain how this compromise was achieved or guarantee reliability under extreme conditions.

LAI Reasoning Process:

1. The system receives target set $T=$ {irradiation resistance, corrosion resistance, high-temperature strength, neutron economy}.
2. Activates Irradiation-Root, Corrosion-Root, High-Temperature-Strength-Root, Neutron-Economy-Root.
3. The system identifies multiple conflict groups:
    - Irradiation resistance requires fine grains → fine grains reduce high-temperature creep resistance;
    - Corrosion resistance requires specific alloying elements → some alloying elements increase neutron absorption cross-section;
    - High-temperature strength requires strengthening phases → strengthening phases may become traps for irradiation defects.
4. The system searches for "hub Morpho-Roots" that can simultaneously mitigate these conflicts. Oxide-Dispersion-Strengthened-Root is identified as a potential hub—nanoscale oxide particles can both pin grain

boundaries to inhibit recrystallization and capture irradiation defects without significantly increasing neutron absorption cross-section.

5. The system outputs the complete reasoning chain and trade-off justification:

```
Target: Irradiation + Corrosion + High-Temp Strength + Neutron Economy

    ↓ (multiple conflicts detected)

Irradiation ⊥ High-Temp (grain size conflict)

Corrosion ⊥ Neutron Economy (alloying element conflict)

    ↓ (resolution path found)

ODS-Steel-Root (oxide dispersion strengthened steel)

    ↓ (mechanisms)

- Nano-oxides pin grain boundaries → high-temp strength

- Nano-oxides trap irradiation defects → irradiation resistance

- Optimized Cr content → corrosion resistance

- Low neutron-absorbing elements → neutron economy

    ↓ (constrained_by)

Manufacturability-Root {powder metallurgy processing window for ODS steel}

Service-Condition-Root {irradiation-thermal-mechanical coupled service conditions}

    ↓ (validates)

Feasible Design: ODS steel + optimized composition + process parameters
```

Key Value: Designers receive not only a material solution, but also the complete trade-off justification—why this material can simultaneously satisfy four seemingly contradictory conditions, and under what conditions this trade-off is valid.

6.2 Case 2: Inverse Design of Metamaterials

Scenario: A mechanical metamaterial with auxetic (negative Poisson's ratio) properties.

LAI Reasoning Process (referencing the Morpho-Root framework in [10]):

1. The system receives the target "negative Poisson's ratio."
2. Activates Auxetic-Structure-Root.

3. Connects via is_enabled_by to Re-Entrant-Hinge-Root (re-entrant hinges are the classical structural mechanism for achieving negative Poisson's ratio).
4. Connects via determines to Geometric-Parameter-Root, deriving the ranges of key geometric parameters such as hinge angle θ and arm length ratio.
5. Connects via constrained_by to Manufacturability-Constraint-Root, checking whether the derived geometric parameters satisfy manufacturability constraints (e.g., minimum feature size, overhang angle).
6. Outputs the complete reasoning chain and design solution.

Key Value: The complete reasoning chain from "negative Poisson's ratio" to "re-entrant hinge" to "geometric parameters" to "manufacturability validation" enables designers to understand the physical basis of every design choice, rather than blindly accepting the model's output.

---

7. Challenges and Prospects

7.1 Knowledge Engineering Bottleneck

Challenge: Building a complete "Material Morpho-Root" system requires systematically encoding decades of accumulated physical knowledge in materials science into computable form. This is a massive knowledge engineering undertaking.

Potential Paths:

- **Extraction from classical literature**: Extract mature physical models from classical textbooks, reviews, and milestone papers in materials science as "meta-Morpho-Root" seeds.
- **Community collaboration model**: Drawing on the Wikipedia model, establish an open Materials Morpho-Root knowledge base, jointly maintained and expanded by domain experts.
- **Human-AI collaboration tools**: Utilize large language models to semi-automatically extract candidate Morpho-Roots and relations from the vast literature, with expert review and validation.

Liu, in Ref. [11], further applies the LAI framework to trustworthy design of structural materials under extreme conditions, proposing a Morpho-Root-based graph reasoning framework and implementation strategy, providing methodological reference for the construction of the Materials Morpho-Root knowledge base.

7.2 Computational Complexity Challenge

Challenge: As the Morpho-Root network expands, graph traversal-based reasoning may face combinatorial explosion problems.

Scale Estimation: Preliminary estimates suggest that at moderate scales ($\sim 10^3$ Morpho-Root types, $\sim 10^4$ relation edges), exact reasoning based on graph traversal is computationally feasible. When the network expands to 10^5–10^6 nodes, the following strategies need to be introduced:

- **Graph Neural Network (GNN) approximate reasoning**: Represent the symbolic Morpho-Root network as a graph structure, using GNN message passing mechanisms to rapidly evaluate the potential effects of different Morpho-Root combinations.
- **MSE-guided pruning**: Use MSE metrics to dynamically prune the search space, focusing on high-value regions.
- **Hierarchical reasoning**: Perform reasoning at different abstraction levels of the Morpho-Root network, avoiding premature combinatorial explosion at detailed levels.

7.3 Experimental Validation Loop

Challenge: Materials design solutions generated by LAI reasoning ultimately require experimental validation. How to establish a complete closed loop from "reasoning" to "experiment" to "knowledge update"?

Potential Paths:

- **Integration with self-driving laboratories**: Directly pass LAI reasoning results to automated experimental platforms, achieving a closed loop of "design-synthesis-characterization-testing-feedback."
- **Proactive uncertainty querying**: When MSE indicates knowledge gaps, the system proactively requests specific experiments to fill those gaps.
- **Incremental learning**: Experimental validation results are encoded as new Morpho-Roots or as corrections to existing Morpho-Roots, enabling continuous evolution of the knowledge base.

Liu, from a paradigm perspective, critiques the "rootless" predicament in current materials agent research, pointing out that the fundamental problem is that the Token-based AI paradigm cannot provide stable semantic foundations for materials agents [12]. The LAI framework, through its "rooted" cognitive architecture of Morpho-Roots, provides explainable decision-making foundations for self-driving laboratories and agentic AI.

8. Conclusion

This paper systematically maps the Logographic AI (LAI) theoretical framework to the field of materials science, proposing the "Material Morpho-Root" system and a Morpho-Root-based graph reasoning framework. The main contributions include:

First, identifying the fundamental bottlenecks of current deep generative model-driven materials AI design—inexplicability, unguaranteed constraints, and inefficient use of physical knowledge—and placing this problem within the macro perspective of "technology capture."

Second, proposing the formal definition of "Material Morpho-Root" $M=(S,A,R)$ and the core Morpho-Root system, encoding structure-property relationships and design constraints in materials science as computable structured cognitive units.

Third, designing an MSE-guided inverse reasoning engine that achieves fully traceable reasoning from target properties to feasible materials, with zero-violation assurance of hard constraints.

Fourth, proposing a hybrid architecture integrating LAI Morpho-Root networks with deep generative models, achieving the organic unity of "efficient sampling" and "trustworthy validation."

Fifth, demonstrating the specific working methods and unique value of the LAI framework in materials design through two case studies: nuclear reactor cladding materials and mechanical metamaterials.

The core thesis of this paper is: the future of materials AI lies not in training larger generative models, but in reconstructing AI's cognitive architecture—from "statistical fitting" to "mechanistic reasoning." The Morpho-Root paradigm of Logographic AI provides a feasible philosophical foundation and implementation path for this purpose. When AI can not only "predict" material properties, but also "explain" why it predicts so, "justify" why the design is reasonable, and "guarantee" that all engineering constraints are satisfied, materials science can truly transition from "trial-and-error intensive" to "mechanism-driven."

Liu proposes the vision of "Civilization-Native Intelligence" (CNI), advocating that every civilization can extract its own "cognitive roots" from the structural features of its language [4][5][8]. This work can be seen as a concrete practice of this vision in the field of materials science—encoding the physical knowledge of materials science into "Material Morpho-Roots," building an AI cognitive architecture rooted in physical mechanisms.

We call upon scholars from the materials science, AI, and knowledge engineering communities to jointly participate in the community construction of the "Material Morpho-Root" knowledge base, systematically encoding humanity's physical understanding of materials science into computable, shareable, and evolvable cognitive primitives. This is not only a paradigm upgrade for materials AI, but also a critical step for the entire field of materials informatics to transition from "data-driven" to "mechanism-driven."

Author Contributions

Liu Shen is the sole author of this paper and completed the following work:

- **Conceptualization and Theoretical Framework**: Proposed and systematically elaborated the mapping framework of Logographic AI (LAI) theory to the field of materials science, including the conceptual definition of "Material Morpho-Root," formal modeling, and its cognitive foundation;
- **Methodology Design**: Designed the MSE-guided graph reasoning engine and the LAI-generative model hybrid architecture, completing the theoretical modeling and validation of the relevant algorithms;
- **Manuscript Writing**: Independently completed the writing, revision, and finalization of the entire paper;
- **Literature Analysis**: Systematically reviewed existing methods and their limitations in the field of materials AI, completing comprehensive analysis of relevant literature;
- **Case Design and Validation**: Designed case demonstrations for extreme-condition structural materials and metamaterials, completing engineering concept validation through the OpenMorpho prototype system;
- **Project Supervision**: Responsible for overall research direction planning, research progress management, and quality control of final outcomes.

Declaration: This paper is the independent work of a single author, with no other collaborators.

Conflict of Interest Statement

The author is the original proponent of the Logographic AI (LAI) theory cited in this paper (Refs. [4][5][6][7][8][10][11][12]), and is also one of the developers of the OpenMorpho prototype system (Ref. [9]). The exposition of Logographic AI theory and related technical frameworks in this paper is based on the aforementioned publicly published academic papers, monographs, and open-source code.

The author declares that, apart from the above academic contributions, there are no other conflicts of interest that may affect the objectivity and impartiality of this research, including but not limited to: commercial interests, personal financial relationships, competitive academic relationships, or other non-academic factors.

Data and Code Availability Statement

This paper is a theoretical construction and conceptual demonstration, proposing the "Material Morpho-Root" framework and its application pathways in materials science, rather than reporting specific experimental data or the complete implementation of an engineering validation system.

The source code of the engineering concept validation prototype OpenMorpho cited in this paper is open-sourced on the GitHub platform (Ref. [9]), and readers can access and run the relevant demonstrations through this link.

Detailed elaboration of the core theory of this paper has been published in papers on ChinaXiv and other preprint platforms; readers can obtain more comprehensive technical validation and theoretical foundations through the relevant literature cited in the main text.

Ethical Statement

All cited literature in this paper has been obtained through proper academic channels and is fully annotated in the references. This paper does not contain any form of academic misconduct, including but not limited to: plagiarism, data fabrication, result manipulation, improper authorship, or duplicate submission.

The Logographic AI theory and related technical frameworks cited in this paper are original work proposed by the author based on independent research. When citing the author's own work, it has been appropriately annotated in accordance with academic norms, avoiding undue inflation of self-citations.

Preprint and Submission Statement

This paper is an original new work independently completed by the author, building upon the author's previously published series of work on Logographic AI (LAI) theory (Refs. [4][5][6][7][8]) and its application research in inverse design of metamaterials (Ref. [10]) and trustworthy design of structural materials under extreme conditions (Ref. [11]), further systematically elevating the LAI-Morpho-Root theoretical framework to the paradigm revolution level for the entire field of materials science.

This paper is continuous with Ref. [10] "Logographic AI for Inverse Design of Metamaterials" in theoretical foundation, but differs fundamentally in research objectives, core concepts ("Material Morpho-Root" vs. "Metamaterial Morpho-Root"), and scope of argumentation: Ref. [10] focuses on application methods for the specific domain of metamaterials, while this paper aims to propose a revolutionary transformation path from "data fitting" to "mechanism-driven" for the AI paradigm of materials science as a whole. The two papers are **complementary**, not different versions of the same work.

This paper is not subject to duplicate submission. If subsequently formally published, it will comply with the relevant submission guidelines of academic journals.

References

[1] Xiao, C., Liu, M., Yao, K., Zhang, Y., Zhang, M., Yan, M., Sun, Y., Liu, X., Cui, X., Fan, T., Zhao, C., Hua, W., Ying, Y., Zheng, Y., Zhang, D., Qiu, C.-W., & Zhou, H. (2025). Ultrabroadband and band-selective thermal meta-emitters by machine learning. Nature, 643, 80–88. DOI:10.1038/s41586-025-09102-y.

[2] Wang, H., Du, Z., Feng, F., Kang, Z., Tang, S., & Guo, X. (2024). DiffMat: Data-driven inverse design of energy-absorbing metamaterials using diffusion model. Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering, 432, 117440. DOI:10.1016/j.cma.2024.117440.

[3] Lu, D., Malof, J. M., & Padilla, W. J. (2025). An Agentic Framework for Autonomous Metamaterial Modeling and Inverse Design. ACS Photonics, 12(11), 6071–6080. DOI:10.1021/acsphotonics.5c01514.

[4] Liu S. 表意 AI vs. 表音 AI：AI 新范式与去殖民化宣言[J/OL]. ChinaXiv, 2025. DOI:10.12074/202503.00051.

[5] Liu S. The Rooted Intelligence: The Paradigm Revolution of Logographic AI[M]. Monograph, 2026. Available at: http://logographicai.com/Monograph.html.

[6] 刘深. 智能的基石革命：从数据仓库到认知土壤——表意 AI 理论下的新型数据库范式构建与实现路径[J/OL]. ChinaXiv:T202601.00212, 2026. https://chinaxiv.org/businessFile/T202601/T202601.00212v1/T202601.00212v1.pdf.

[7] Liu S. From "Structural Adjudication" to "Cognitive Primitive": A Foundational Critique of Wu Jiangxing's Endogenous Security Paradigm[J/OL]. ChinaXiv:T202607.00227, 2026. https://chinaxiv.org/businessFile/T202607/T202607.00227v1/T202607.00227v1.pdf.

[8] 刘深. 对抗模型篡改与语义攻击：基于汉语"形根"的内生安全范式[J/OL]. PSSXiv, 2026. https://zsyyb.cn/onlinePreview/18382f27-d1ab-4b54-aa7e-4e4176ef8a91

[9] WindSeaAI. OpenMorpho: Logographic AI Morpho-Root Data Structure and Relational Reasoning Prototype[CP/OL]. GitHub repository, 2026. https://github.com/WindSeaAI/OpenMorpho.

[10] 刘深. 面向超材料逆向设计的表意 AI 方法：基于形根的图推理框架与可信生成路径 (Logographic AI for Inverse Design of Metamaterials: A Morpho-Root Based Graph-Reasoning Framework and Trustworthy Generation Pathway)[J/OL]. ChinaXiv:T202607.00388, 2026. https://chinaxiv.org/businessFile/T202607/T202607.00388v1/T202607.00388v1.pdf

[11] Liu S. Logographic AI for Trustworthy Design of Structural Materials under Extreme Conditions: A Morpho-Root Based Graph-Reasoning Framework with Implementation Strategy[J/OL]. ChinaXiv:T202606.00663, 2026. https://chinaxiv.org/businessFile/T202606/T202606.00663v1/T202606.00663v1.pdf.

[12] 刘深. 材料智能体的"无根"困境与表意 AI 的"有根"进路：张统一院士团队综述的范式视角评论[J/OL]. ChinaXiv:T202606.00741, 2026. https://chinaxiv.org/businessFile/T202606/T202606.00741v1/T202606.00741v1.pdf.

[13] Yu H., Liu Z., Hou R. and Zhang Z. AI-driven approaches in electromagnetic metamaterials design and application: a review, EPJ Appl. Metamat., 12 (2025) 7. https://doi.org/10.1051/epjam/2025006

Author Biography

Liu Shen (LIU SHEN) is Chief Scientist at WindSea (Shenzhen) Artificial Intelligence Technology Development Co., Ltd. He graduated from the Graduate School of the Chinese Academy of Social Sciences in 1991 with a Master's degree. He is the proponent of the Logographic AI (LAI) theory.

Personal ORCID: 0009-0002-5919-3786
Company Website: www.logographicai.com
Email: liushen@fenghai.ac.cn